

Public Document Pack

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

At the meeting of the Council for the District of Dover held at the Council Offices, Whitfield on Wednesday, 25 November 2015 at 6.00 pm.

Present:

Chairman: Councillor S S Chandler

Councillors:

J S Back	R J Frost	K E Morris
S F Bannister	B Gardner	D P Murphy
T J Bartlett	B J Glayzer	M J Ovenden
P M Beresford	D Hannent	A S Pollitt
T A Bond	P J Hawkins	G Rapley
P M Brivio	P G Heath	A F Richardson
P I Carter	J M Heron	M Rose
N J Collor	M J Holloway	D A Sargent
M D Conolly	L A Keen	F J W Scales
M I Cosin	N S Kenton	P Walker
D G Cronk	P S Le Chevalier	P M Wallace
N Dixon	S M Le Chevalier	P A Watkins
M R Eddy	S C Manion	
A Friend	K Mills	

Officers: Chief Executive
Director of Governance
Head of Democratic Services
Head of Legal Services
Head of Regulatory Services
Team Leader – Democratic Support

48 APOLOGIES

An apology for absence was received from Councillor S Hill.

49 MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting held on 30 September 2015 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

50 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor S S Chandler declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of her husband being a director in a company which owned privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor P I Carter declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor N Dixon declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor A Friend declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor D Hannent declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor P G Heath declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor N S Kenton declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor P S Le Chevalier declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor S M Le Chevalier declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of her ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor S C Manion declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor D P Murphy declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

Councillor P A Watkins declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and would withdraw from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.

51

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chairman of the Council made the following announcements:

(a) Paris Attacks

That a one minute silence would be held to remember the 130 people who were killed in the Paris attacks on 13 November 2015.

The Council stood in silence as a mark of respect.

(b) Dancing on Armistice Day

The staging of the 'Dancing on Armistice Day' cross-channel production between schools in the Dover District and in Dunkirk. The production explained the realities of war and the significance of the act of remembrance.

The first performance had been held earlier in November 2015 at the Duke of York's Royal Military School. Following the Paris attacks the English schools involved did not travel to Dunkirk for the scheduled second performance and instead it would now be held in March 2016.

52 LEADER'S TIME

The Leader of the Council, Councillor P A Watkins, included the following matters in his report:

(a) The impact of the Comprehensive Spending Review and Autumn Statement for the Council:

- That the Council had anticipated the removal of the Revenue Support Grant by 2019-2020, which had been reduced for the last 5 years. This combined with announcements relating to business rates and New Homes Bonus meant that the Council would have to be self-sufficient by 2020.
- That the Council would be able to retain the revenue from business rates although it was not yet clear what formula would be used to distribute the revenue between the tiers of local government. Local Authorities would also be given powers to cut local business rates, although they wouldn't be able to raise them without the consent of local businesses unless they had an elected mayor.
- There were discussions on whether the distribution of New Homes Bonus would be changed to support upper tier social care costs. Currently, Dover District Council retained 80% of the New Homes Bonus with the remaining 20% going to Kent County Council.
- That an additional 2% Council Tax Rise would be permitted to fund social care costs.
- The announcement of £12 billion allocated to Local Growth Funds distributed via the Local Enterprise Partnerships. The Council had successfully bid for Local Growth Funds in the past.
- The government would increase the local government Disabled Facilities Grants by £500 million.
- The announcement of 400,000 new homes and the expansion of schemes to support people buying their own homes. To encourage house building there would be reforms to the planning system, particularly in relation to brownfield sites.
- The allocation of £250 million to deal with Operation Stack, including the Dover Traffic Assessment Project (TAP).
- The expansion of the number of Enterprise Zones with a new North Kent Enterprise Zone linked to Ebbsfleet Garden City.
- The 20% reduction in the Department for Communities and Local Government paybill.
- £75 million for emergency services communication systems.

(b) A meeting of Kent Leaders was held on Tuesday 24 November 2015 to discuss the Government's devolution agenda and the opportunities it presented for local authorities in Kent. The devolution agenda presented the

opportunity for local authorities to take on additional powers and responsibilities from Government as well as enabling the sharing of powers between the County Council and District Councils.

There would be further discussions over the devolution agenda and the governance models to deliver it, with Kent authorities divided into 3 or 4 zones. The East Kent zone would consist of Ashford Borough Council, Canterbury City Council, Dover District Council, Shepway District Council and Thanet District Council. One option to be considered was the combined authority model with an elected mayor. It was important that the Council worked to shape the devolution agenda rather than be shaped by it.

- (c) The proposals for the South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board to take on a direct commissioning role. This was supported by all the parties involved, including the Clinical Commissioning Group and Kent County Council.
- (d) That preparation for the acceptance of Syrian Refugees was progressing and that the Council would be ready to accept the first 2-3 families in a month time.

The Leader of the Main Opposition Labour Group, Councillor M R Eddy, included the following matters in his report:

- (a) That the outcome of the Comprehensive Spending Review and the Autumn Statement was that Dover District Council would be worse off with rich areas winning and poor areas losing.
- (b) To welcome news that the police budget was not being cut.
- (c) To question how rapid the 2 brigades of rapid reaction forces would be if they were not ready until 2025.
- (d) That sum of the additional funding for health had been taken from other health bodies and that it would have to be bid for.
- (e) That the removal of the Revenue Support Grant by 2020 meant that the Council would have to fund all of its existing services and that it was unlikely the business sector would support rises in business rates.
- (f) His concerns that with the break-up of the old health authority 15 years ago it was being recreated through the amalgamation of the smaller replacement bodies and that within a few years there would be only 2 Clinical Commissioning Groups – one for East Kent and one for West Kent.
- (g) To welcome the Government's announcement of funding to deal with Operation Stack and note that the amount allocated was greater than that estimated as necessary by the Leader of Kent County Council.
- (h) That while funding for Troubled Families was continuing at roughly the same level it was still lower than the amount per family provided when the programme was launched.
- (i) To express concern that the devolution agenda had given such an important role to the business sector but had no involvement from the Trade Unions and the Charity Sector in the future shape of local government. He agreed that the Council must engage with the devolution agenda to shape it.
- (j) To welcome the progress in respect of the preparatory arrangements for the district to receive its first Syrian Refugees and the proposed changes to the Health and Wellbeing Board.

The Leader of the Other Opposition Labour Group, Councillor A F Richardson, included the following matters in his report:

- (a) To emphasise the importance of tackling the deficit and improving the state of public finances. In particular, he welcomed the increase in defence spending but noted that there was a lot of work to do to restore the nation's defences.
- (b) To question whether the district was able to afford to cut the level of business rates in the district under the new powers proposed by the Government.
- (c) To express his deep concern over the future of Dover District Council as a service provider.
- (d) To point out that private sector land banking and developers trying to get out of providing social housing was the biggest obstacle to housing growth and not, as in his view the Government saw it, the planning department. To emphasise that the public sector had a role in providing truly affordable social housing.
- (e) To welcome the funding for Operation Stack but to appeal for more variable speed limits and gantries for the operation of the Traffic Assessment Project (TAP) due its impact on western Dover.
- (f) To acknowledge the opportunities that the devolution agenda could bring to Kent if there was a cross-party consensus but to raise concerns that the proposed major role of the business community could see a democratic deficit arise.

The Leader of the Council elected to forego his right of reply.

53 QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC

In accordance with Rule 11 of the Council Procedure Rules, Mrs Pauline Hamilton gave notice of her intention to ask the following question of the Leader of the Council, Councillor P A Watkins:

“The need for an accessible toilet and/or changing facility in the Walmer Green/Paddling Pool area has long been accepted. In the past we were working with District Council Officers, Walmer Parish Council and Your Leisure's predecessors on this project. Regrettably because of a failed funding bid and officers involvement with Olympic planning this project was shelved.

We would now like to work with all interested parties, particularly the District Council as owners of the site, to take this forward. We are happy to be a voluntary sector partner in any funding bid and gather supporting evidence to establish the need.

The current arrangements prevent disabled people from taking a full and active part in events taking place on Walmer Green and families with a disabled member enjoying the Paddling Pool. We know that this area is popular with people from across the district as well as visitors to the area.”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Property Management and Public Protection, Councillor T J Bartlett, advised that the Council was working with

Deal Speaking Up Group and subject to Cabinet approval, it was expected that the works to the paddling pool toilets would be funded with the work potentially commencing as early as Easter 2016.

Mrs Hamilton thanked Councillor T J Bartlett for his answer.

54 SEAT ALLOCATION AND GROUP APPOINTMENTS

Councillor P A Watkins informed the Council that Councillor D P Murphy would replace Councillor S C Manion on the Regulatory Committee.

(It was subsequently noted that Councillor D P Murphy was already a member of the Licensing Committee and could not be appointed to it as a replacement for Councillor S C Manion.)

55 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12, Members of the Cabinet and the Chairman of the Licensing Committee responded to the following questions:

- (1) Councillor A S Pollitt asked the Portfolio Holder for Corporate Resources and Performance, Councillor M D Conolly:

“Would the Portfolio Holder for Corporate Resources and Performance consider setting up a cross-party committee to evaluate the future allocation of funds held in the District Regeneration and Economic Development Reserve?”

In response the Leader of the Council, Councillor P A Watkins, advised that a cross-party committee would not be set-up and the allocation of funds would be made through the Medium Term Financial Plan as normal, which would be subject to the scrutiny process.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor A S Pollitt exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (2) Councillor P M Brivio asked the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing, Councillor P M Beresford:

“Does the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing consider that the tax changes introduced in the summer budget affecting "Buy to Let" landlords will have an impact on the supply of private rented accommodation in the Dover district, which is increasingly the only option for those in housing need?”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing advised that it was difficult at this stage to predict what impact, if any, the changes would have on 'Buy to Let' landlords and the supply of privately rented housing in the district.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor P M Brivio exercised her right to ask one supplementary question to which the Portfolio Holder advised that a written reply would be provided.

- (3) Councillor M I Cosin asked the Portfolio Holder for Property Management and Public Protection, Councillor T J Bartlett:

“At a time when the United Kingdom is being investigated by the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities over violations of disabled people's human rights, does the Portfolio Holder for Property Management and Public Protection agree that this Council's procrastination over the updating of the toilets by Walmer Paddling Pool needs to be addressed urgently?”

The Chairman and Councillor M I Cosin agreed that this question had already been answered under public questions.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor M I Cosin exercised her right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (4) Councillor J Heron asked the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing, Councillor P M Beresford:

“Currently the mechanism that is now in place is that a House of Multiple Occupation is inspected only when its licence is up for renewal every 5 years. Would the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing agree with me that a more robust and stringent procedure is required to manage and make more accountable the landlords of Houses of Multiple Occupation?”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing advised that the inspection of HMOs was undertaken at the time of the licence application/renewal with follow-up inspections undertaken where improvement works were required or concerns arose. The government was currently consulting on widening the scope of the legislation.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor J Heron exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (5) Councillor P Walker asked the Portfolio Holder for Skills, Training, Tourism, Voluntary Services and Community Safety, Councillor K Morris:

“Will the Portfolio Holder for Skills, Training, Tourism, Voluntary Services and Community Safety specify how this Council's interests in Your Leisure are monitored?”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Property Management and Public Protection, Councillor T J Bartlett, advised that the Council's relationship with Your Leisure was based on the individual property leases for the leisure centres, Town Hall and Paddling Pool underpinned by funding agreements for each site. Officers met with Your Leisure regularly to review operational and performance matters.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor P Walker exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (6) Councillor D G Cronk asked the Leader of the Council, Councillor P A Watkins:

“Given the Prime Minister’s readiness to provide the assistance of the Number 10 Policy Unit to Oxfordshire County Council, does the Leader of the Council have any plans to seek similar assistance to combat the disastrous impact of Government cuts on this Council’s services?”

In response the Leader of the Council advised that he did not have such plans.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor D G Cronk exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (7) Councillor P J Hawkins asked the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning, Councillor N S Kenton:

“With no change to the exterior of the former Regent Cinema and fears that summer 2016 will see the same neglected eyesore that has blighted our seafront for too many years, will the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning please tell this Council, and more importantly the residents, how close we are to receiving a viable planning application from the owners of the building?”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning advised that the Council’s Planning Department had been in discussion with the Regent’s owner in regard to proposals for the site and its current condition. A final planning application was expected before Christmas with the expectation of works in the spring to improve the external appearance of the building.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor P J Hawkins exercised her right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (8) Councillor S F Bannister asked the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning, Councillor N S Kenton:

“Could the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning inform the Council how much this Council spent on street cleaning in each of the last 5 financial years and the percentage increase or decrease that these figures represent?”

In response the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Waste and Planning advised the figures for street cleansing expenditure for each year from 2010/11 to 2015/16. It was noted that the figure for 2015/16 was the figure in the current budget.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor S F Bannister exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (9) Councillor P J Hawkins asked the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing, Councillor P M Beresford:

“At a time of swingeing cuts to our NHS, and to mental health services in particular, how can this Council ensure through bodies such as the Health and Well-being Board that the needs of local residents with mental health conditions receive parity of treatment and services with those given to patients with physical conditions? This is something which the Prime Minister has said he wants to see but seems to give no indication on how this will be funded. In her response it would be helpful if the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Well-being could let the Council know whether she has any information on the finance question which may have been kept from the rest of us.”

In response the Portfolio Holder for Housing, Health and Wellbeing advised that the specific details of mental health budgets formed part of the Chancellor’s Spending Review.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor P J Hawkins exercised her right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

- (10) Councillor B Gardner asked the Leader of the Council, Councillor P A Watkins:

“At the July meeting of this Council, it was agreed that there would be an additional meeting of the Licensing Committee to consider all the representations sent in with regard to the licensing policy consultation. However, this meeting did not take place. Can the Leader of the Council tell members who made the decision to overrule the Council’s decision and on what grounds?”

In response, the Chairman of the Licensing Committee, Councillor P S Le Chevalier advised that having received legal advice from the Solicitor to the Council that the implementation of recommendations (c) and (d) of Minute No. 32 of the Council meeting held on 22 July 2015 would be unlawful and expose the Licensing Policy to significant risk of legal challenge, he had taken the decision not to call an additional meeting of the Licensing Committee to consider the licensing policy. This was due to the provisions of s.7(2) of the Licensing Act 2003 which required the Council to discharge the function of determining the statement of licensing policy and to involve the Licensing Committee would require it to assume functions outside of those ascribed to it by the law. A copy of this advice had been provided to the Vice-Chairman of the Licensing Committee, Councillor B Gardner, and the leaders of the 3 political groups.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor B Gardner exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which the Chairman of the Licensing Committee advised that a reply would be provided subsequent to the meeting.

- (11) Councillor M R Eddy asked the Portfolio Holder for Access and Licensing, Councillor N J Collor:

“Given that the sale of electric and ULEV cars has increased fourfold in the last year, could the Portfolio Holder for Access and Licensing outline the Council’s policy regarding the installation of charging points?”

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Access and Licensing advised that the Council did not have a policy for the installation of charging points for electric and ULEV cars as the low usage levels in the district did not justify the expense involved. This usage of dual fuel and electric vehicles in the district would continue to be monitored and if appropriate the issue would be revisited.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 12.5, Councillor M R Eddy exercised his right to ask one supplementary question to which a verbal answer was given.

56 STATE OF THE DISTRICT REPORT

The annual State of the District report was introduced by Councillor P A Watkins, who thanked the Head of Leadership Support and her team for their work in compiling the document.

It was moved by Councillor P A Watkins and duly seconded:

RESOLVED: That the report and actions being taken through the Corporate Plan 2012-16 and Cabinet be noted.

57 REVIEW OF STATEMENT OF LICENSING POLICY - LICENSING ACT 2003

The Chairman of the Licensing Committee, Councillor P S Le Chevalier, introduced the report on the Review of the Statement of Licensing Policy.

It was moved by Councillor P S Le Chevalier and duly seconded:

“That the revised Statement of Licensing Policy, with the suggested amendments following consultation responses, be approved for implementation on 7 January 2016 and that it be agreed that there was currently no evidential basis for a special policy relating to cumulative impact.”

An AMENDMENT was moved by Councillor B Gardner and duly seconded that

“That the Licensing Policy be amended so that Licensing Authority will notify every Parish Council and every Town Council in the district of every licensing application when it is received.”

On being put to the meeting, the Amendment was LOST.

An AMENDMENT was moved by Councillor B Gardner and duly seconded that

“That the revised Statement of Licensing Policy, with the suggested amendments following consultation responses, be approved for implementation on 7 January 2016 and that it be agreed that a working group be established consisting of 6 Members on a ratio of 3, 2, 1, to be supported by appropriate officers, to report to full Council on the need or otherwise of a cumulative impact assessment/study and to report back at or before the May 2016 Council meeting.”

On being put to the meeting, the Amendment was LOST.

The original Motion was put to the meeting, and

RESOLVED: That the revised Statement of Licensing Policy, with the suggested amendments following consultation responses, be approved for implementation on 7 January 2016 and that it be agreed that there was currently no evidential basis for a special policy relating to cumulative impact.

58 APPOINTMENT OF AN EAST KENT JOINT INDEPENDENT REMUNERATION PANEL

It was moved by Councillor M D Conolly, duly seconded and

RESOLVED: (a) That the Council's continued participation in the East Kent Joint Independent Remuneration Panel be confirmed until 30 November 2019.

(b) That Mr W Ferrier and Mr A Goodall be appointed by the Council to the East Kent Joint Independent Remuneration Panel for a four year term commencing 1 December 2015.

(c) That the Director of Governance be authorised to agree to agree the arrangements for the administrative support of the East Kent Joint Independent Remuneration Panel.

59 MOTIONS

(1) Motion from Councillor G Rapley

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 13, Councillor G Rapley gave notice of her intention to move the following Motion:

“This Council considers that the people of Dover should receive either a new or re-developed leisure centre within the town of Dover. Options should be explored for either re-developing the facility on the existing site, or for constructing a new facility on a suitable alternative site close to the town centre.”

The Motion was duly seconded.

An Amendment was moved by Councillor S F Bannister and duly seconded:

“This Council considers that the people of Dover District should receive either a new or re-developed leisure centre. Options should be explored for either re-developing the facility on the existing site, or for constructing a new facility on a suitable alternative site that is easily accessible to all.”

Following debate on the Amendment, Councillor G Rapley with the consent of her seconder and the meeting withdrew her original Motion.

(Councillor T A Bond declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in the Motion at Minute No. 59(1) by reason of his wife's employment by Your Leisure and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of the matter.)

(2) Election of a Chairman

In the absence of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman who withdrew from the meeting following their declarations of a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in the Motion at Minute No. 59(3), the Head of Democratic Services called for nominations for the Chairman for the next item of business.

It was proposed by Councillor M R Eddy and duly seconded that Councillor M I Cosin be elected Chairman for the next item of business.

It was proposed by Councillor F J W Scales and duly seconded that Councillor N J Collor be elected Chairman for the next item of business.

On being put to the meeting it was

RESOLVED: That Councillor M I Cosin be elected Chairman for the next item of business.

(3) Motion from Councillor A S Pollitt

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 13, Councillor A S Pollitt gave notice of his intention to move the following Motion:

“This Council agrees that private sector housing in the UK needs regulation and reform. Consequently this Council requests that Government brings in legislation to outlaw rooms smaller than 6.5 square metres being let as bedrooms in multiple occupation properties.”

An Amendment was moved by Councillor M R Eddy and duly seconded:

“This Council believes the government needs to legislate for greater powers to investigate and to enforce regulation in the private housing sector. To pay for this Dover District Council urges the government to look at Councillors who are major landlords in the sector and to impose a levy on local authority political Groups. We proposed a rate of £50 per property if members of a Group collectively own more than 20 houses between them.”

The Chairman ruled that the Amendment was not admissible as it displaced the original Motion.

In the absence of a valid Amendment the original Motion was put to the meeting and it was

RESOLVED: This Council agrees that private sector housing in the UK needs regulation and reform. Consequently this Council requests that Government brings in legislation to outlaw rooms smaller than 6.5 square metres being let as bedrooms in multiple occupation properties.

(Councillor S S Chandler declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of her husband being a director in a company which owned privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor P I Carter declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor N Dixon declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor A Friend declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor D Hannent declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor P G Heath declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor N S Kenton declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor P S Le Chevalier declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor S M Le Chevalier declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of her ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor S C Manion declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor D P Murphy declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

(Councillor P A Watkins declared a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI) in Minute No. 59(3) by reason of his ownership of privately rented property and withdrew from the meeting for the consideration of that item of business.)

60 URGENT BUSINESS TIME

There were no items of urgent business.

The meeting ended at 8.54 pm